

Energy Value of DDGS for Turkeys

Sally Noll¹, Brian Kerr², and Gerald Shurson¹

¹University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN

²USDA-ARS-National Laboratory for Agriculture and Environment, Ames, IA

Background

Removal of a portion of the oil in the manufacture of corn derived distillers grains with solubles (DDGS) has become common place. As oil in DDGS is removed, both nutritive and non-nutritive components will be concentrated in the DDGS, including protein and fiber, respectively. The potential exists for a greater negative impact of de-oiling on ME for poultry due to decreased ability to digest fiber as compared to swine. The main objective of this study was to determine the metabolizable energy content of DDGS samples that varied in ether extract (crude fat) content using two different methodologies in young turkeys.

Methods

Six samples of DDGS were obtained from the dry milling industry that was reflective of different particle sizes and composition. Comprehensive chemical analysis was conducted at USDA-ARS (Ames, IA). Nitrogen corrected apparent metabolizable energy (AME_n) content was determined using the methodology as published by Rochell et al. (2011) and true metabolizable energy (TME_n) using the Sibbald method adapted for young turkeys (7 wk old). Determined AME_n and TME_n (DM) were statistically analyzed and treatment means were separated by the LSD procedure when treatment effect was significant (P<.05).

Results

The range in fat content (dry matter basis, DM) was 6.99 to 13.31% with an average crude fat content of 9.9% (**Table 1**). Samples varied in crude protein, lysine, fiber, and mineral contents.

Table 1. Composition of test distillers dried grains with solubles (DM basis).

| | Sample ID: Distillers Dried Grains w/solubles | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|------|------|------|------|------|
| Component (%) | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| Dry Matter | 88.7 | 88.9 | 89.3 | 89.8 | 90.5 | 91.3 |
| Crude Protein | 29.6 | 32.0 | 31.6 | 30.6 | 32.2 | 29.8 |
| Lysine | 1.07 | 1.14 | 1.13 | 1.18 | 1.15 | 1.10 |
| Total Dietary Fiber | 31.5 | 31.6 | 31.1 | 32.4 | 32.8 | 32.1 |
| NDF | 38.3 | 38.5 | 39.6 | 31.0 | 31.1 | 27.8 |
| ADF | 11.5 | 12.1 | 11.6 | 8.9 | 8.55 | 8.55 |
| Hemicellulose | 26.8 | 26.4 | 28.0 | 22.0 | 22.5 | 19.3 |
| Ash | 4.8 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Crude fat | 13.3 | 10.4 | 9.1 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 11.4 |

Results of the TME_n assays are presented in **Table 2**. No differences were observed among AME_n content. Correlations (Pearson's) of composition to TME_n content were determined with calculated probability. Weak correlations were found with crude protein (-.30, P<.0004), crude fat (.24, P <.02),

gross energy (.25, $P < .02$), and lysine digestibility coefficient (.36, $P < .0005$). Prediction models using different subsets of composition did not generate a predictive equation with an R^2 greater than .12.

Table 2. Metabolizable energy content of test distillers dried grains with solubles for young turkeys determined as apparent metabolizable energy (AME_n) and true metabolizable energy (TME_n) (dry matter basis).

| DDGS Sample ID | Metabolizable energy (kcal/kg) | |
|-------------------|---|---|
| | Apparent metabolizable energy (AME_n) | True metabolizable energy (TME_n) |
| A | 3526 | 2947 ^{ab} |
| B | 3453 | 2747 ^b |
| C | 3175 | 2784 ^b |
| D | 2923 | 2761 ^b |
| E | 3486 | 2810 ^b |
| F | 3094 | 3138 ^a |
| P-value | NS | .007 |

Summary

The results of the research indicated that extraction of oil from DDGS resulted in DDGS with varying chemical composition and TME_n . Metabolizable energy value tended to decrease with decreasing oil content, but the decrease in TME_n was not strongly associated to any particular DDGS chemical component including crude fat content. Predictive equations for metabolizable energy could not be generated using chemical composition.

Acknowledgments

Funding provided by the Minnesota Agricultural Utilization Research Institute and Minnesota Corn Growers Association. This work was supported by the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture. Technical assistance provided by University of Minnesota staff – Jeanine Brannon, Igor Radovic, and Alexandra Copeland.